1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		DISTRICT COLUMN
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8	CASCADE YARNS, INC., a Washington (Corporation, (Corporation)	Case No. 2:10-cv-00861 RSM
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER REGARDING CR 37 SUBMISSION REGARDING CASCADE
11	vs.	YARNS, INC.'S INTERROGATORIES TO JAY OPPERMAN
12	KNITTING FEVER, INC., a New York Corporation, KFI, INC., a New York)	
13	Corporation, DESIGNER YARNS, LTD., a corporation of England, EMMEPIEFFE SRL,	
14	an entity organized or existing under the laws of Italy, SION ELALOUF, an individual, JAY	
15	OPPERMAN, an individual, DEBBIE BLISS,) an individual, and DOES 1-50	
1617	Defendants.)	
18	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS.	
19	This matter is before the Court for consideration of plaintiff's unnoted Local Rule CR 37	
20	joint motion to compel. Dkt. # 391. Having considered the motion and response, the Court now	
21	finds and rules as follows:	
22	INTERROGATORY 3: Identify all interests that you have or have had in Designer	
23	Yarns, including the amount of the same. In your response, specify the nature of your interest,	
24	Although Local Rule CR 37(a)(1)(B) contemplates an expedited ruling on this joint submission, it was not properly noted as a motion, and does not appear on the Court's calendar. The Court was not alerted to this and other CR 37 joint submissions filed by plaintiff until a review of other motions on the docket	
2526		
	ORDER REGARDING CR 37 SUBMISSION REGARDING CASCADE'S INTERROGATOD TO JAY OPPERMAN - 1 Case No. 2:10-CV-00861-RSM	RIES SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY (US) LLP 275 BATTERY STREET, SUITE 2600 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111 415.954.0200 FAX: 415.393.9887

such as whether it involves only ownership or if it involves control, as well as, the manner in 1 which such interest was acquired, such as if part of a start-up investment, purchase, or non-2 monetary transfer. Please include in your response, the party, if any, transferring such interest to 3 4 you. **COURT'S RULING ON INTERROGATORY 3: GRANTED.** 5 **INTERROGATORY 4**: For the tradeshows that you have attended, either with KFI, Mr. 6 7 Elalouf or Designer Yarns, please state the person or entity that made travel and lodging, 8 arrangements and/ or paid or reimbursed you for the same. This specifically relates to your attendance in Handerbeit und Hobby, Pitti Filatti, TNNA, NENA or similar. If your response 9 10 varies depending upon the show that you attended, please be specific in your response. COURT'S RULING ON INTERROGATORY 4: GRANTED. 11 **INTERROGATORY 6**: Identify all manners in which you are or have received 12 13 compensation from KFI. (i.e. paid commission for sales in my territory, paid override commission for acting as National Sales Manager, paid flat fee for training services for Euro division, and 14 15 receive profit sharing for sales to A.C. Moore). If your manner of compensation has changed, please state periods in which it was in effect. 16 COURT'S RULING ON INTERROGATORY 6: GRANTED. 17 18 **INTERROGATORY 7**: Identify the nature and timing of any payments that you receive, or have received, from Designer Yarns. (i.e. annual dividend, monthly salary, bi-annual profit 19 sharing check, etc). 20 21 **COURT'S RULING ON INTERROGATORY 7:** DENIED. The answer given is 22 deemed complete. **INTERROGATORY 10**: Please state who you contend is responsible for the actual fiber 23 24 composition of "the Cashmerinos." Please respond with respect to the wool, acrylic, cashmere 25 blend yarns sourced through VVG under either the Debbie Bliss, Louisa Harding, KFI Cashmerino, Cashmere Luxury or other label which is or was sold by KFI. Please respond with 26 ORDER REGARDING CR 37 SUBMISSION REGARDING CASCADE'S INTERROGATORIES

TO JAY OPPERMAN - 2

Case No. 2:10-CV-00861-RSM

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY (US) LLP 275 BATTERY STREET, SUITE 2600 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111 415.954.0200 FAX: 415.393.9887

the name of the person who you contend has the responsibility of making such decision. If you do 1 2 not know the identity of the person, describe him as best as you can, including his employer or 3 role. COURT'S RULING ON INTERROGATORY 10: GRANTED IN PART. Mr. 4 Opperman shall supplement his response with a clear statement regarding his knowledge, or lack 5 of knowledge, regarding persons responsible for decision regarding fiber composition of the yarns 6 7 named. 8 **INTERROGATORY 12**: Identify all sales accounts that have personally expressed 9 accuracy of labeling concerns to you with respect to one of the wool, acrylic, cashmere blend 10 yarns sourced through VVG under either the Debbie Bliss, Louisa Harding, KFI Cashmerino, Cashmere Luxury or other label which is or was sold by KFI. In your response, please indicate 11 the approximate date of such occurrence and what actions, if any, were taken by you or KFI. 12 13 COURT'S RULING ON INTERROGATORY 12: GRANTED IN PART. Mr. Opperman shall supplement his Rule 33(d) designation with signature of counsel. Further, he 14 15 shall supplement his response with a written answer identifying, to the best of his recollection, any sales accounts that expressed concerns to him over accuracy of labeling since January of 16 2006. 17 18 DATED this 10 day of January 2012. 19 20 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 21 22 Presented by: SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY (US) LLP 23 /s/ Robert J. Guite Robert J. Guite, WSBA No. 25753 24 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim 25 Defendants 26

ORDER REGARDING CR 37 SUBMISSION REGARDING CASCADE'S INTERROGATORIES TO JAY OPPERMAN - 3 Case No. 2:10-CV-00861-RSM